

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

If the owners of
Sinclair
Broadcasting choose
to air the
anti-Kerry program,
then it should be
labeled as an
"infomercial for
special interests".

I think it is
outrageous that this
could be aired as
unbiased news!!! I
was offended when
they would not let
Ted Koppel air his
program honoring the
military people who
have died in Iraq.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we get
more of what's good
for the bottom line
and less of what we
need for our
democracy. Instead
of something
produced at "News
Central" far away,
it's more important
that we see real
people from our own
communities and more
substantive news
about issues that
matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.